| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/820,732 | TANAKA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Phillip A. Johnston | 2881 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *Phillip A. Johnston*.

(2) *Murat Ozgu*.

**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *17 December 2007*

**Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*Final*

Claims discussed:
*1*

Prior art documents discussed:
*Sogard*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*Approval was given to add to claim 1 by examiner's amendment the limitation; wherein a turning force from the driving source is converted into a vertical force to move the upper lid.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)